



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/033,716  | 12/27/2001  | Anthony L. Fontaine  | 83336.0559                   | 8636                   |
| 30076 7590 11/30/2007<br>STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP<br>2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS<br>SUITE 2800<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>BAYAT, BRADLEY B |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>3621             | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>11/30/2007      | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/033,716 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>FONTAINE ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Bradley B. Bayat  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3621                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Bradley B. Bayat. (3) Andy Spence.  
 (2) Joel Landau. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Goertzel et al. (6,308,273).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed potential amendments with regards to "verifying a physical presence of the user at the geographic location." Discussed soft token vs. physical card use in verifying the presence of the user and generally on-demand systems. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**BRADLEY BAYAT**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required